## October 13, 2022 Rupture and Fault Zone Observatory Breakout Session on "Subsurface Fault Zone Structure"

## Science Questions:

- 1. What do we see when we look into fault zones? Velocity structures, wave propagation properties, seismicity distributions?
- 2. What are the implications of these products? What is the time variability of these signals?
- 3. How could we observe these using a purpose-built observatory? What do we need in the observatory?

## In the context of each science question:

- 1. Signals that inform us about the science questions
- 2. Properties of the observatories that are required to record these signals
- 3. How to involve the next generation and training

Your Name, contact info (optional)	Comment (or Questions)
Jamie Steidl	<ul> <li>Do these results suggest that perhaps we should be doing half the number of fault crossing arrays, and instead make the arrays wider, even spanning both the SJF and SAF?</li> <li>Yehuda Ben-Zion - It would be useful to have one very long array that leverage on the existing stations!</li> <li>Jamie Steidl - Agreed, and crossing the EF as well, perhaps more than one.</li> <li>Yehuda Ben-Zion - Right Jamie, and we can also try to include in the long line a few existing shallow borehole sites</li> <li>Elizabeth Cochran - For multiple types of seismic studies, it is useful to have good azimuthal coverage. So, perhaps some closely spaced arrays or grids would be useful to include.</li> <li>Jamie Steidl - Yes, perhaps a combination of short, long linear and nested grid arrays to get a different spacings, where the individual linear arrays on SAF, SJF, and EF in themselves make a grid at the largest spacing.</li> </ul>
	***Discussion of "simplicity" of fault segments, importance of Elsinore fault, rupture/surface rupture of M6 events,***
Yehuda	Question to Ellis and the geodesists attending: what combination of

Ben-Zion/Jamie Steidl	geodetic sensors can be combined with InSAR to clarify key properties and processes?
	and what configuration of geodetic instruments spatially provides supports the scientific questions.
	<ul> <li>Jean-Philippe Avouac - Would like to see across fault borehole installed fibers, along fault too</li> <li>Ellis Vavra - In terms of complementing SAR, I think the spatial distribution of any instruments is important. The urban/desert areas are great, but steep topography and agriculture are tricky (especially for small signals). One could look at time-averaged InSAR coherence to try to identify sites that might benefit most from denser GNSS sites and/or regular campaign surveys.</li> </ul>
Jean-Philippe Avouac/Pieter Share	EM monitoring - some issues with instrumentation, not there yet but working on it
	<ul> <li>Cliff Thurber - Was there some EM monitoring at Parkfield in 2004? - Not sure</li> </ul>
Santiago Rabade	<ul> <li>Is there any plan to have temporal node deployments during Rufzo to have larger and denser seismic arrays?</li> <li>Frank Vernon - Yes, this is part of the plan.</li> <li>Pieter Share - Presenting the ongoing work at the San Jacinto fault and results from dense node deployments</li> </ul>
Jamie Steidl	Point that any installation/array may attract additional sensors/instruments that can be supported depending on prioritization and limitations (e.g. on power, telecommunications, etc)
Craig Nicholson	<ul> <li>Multiple paleomagnetic results indicate that measurable near fault strain is taken up by small-scale (block) rotations. To what extent can these be quantified or are these rotations expected to be evaluated using high-res InSAR or something?</li> <li>Dan Gittins - With complementing SAR I would also say that using creepmeters and strainmeters is still useful. The crucial things with these instruments would be location. Many of the issues I have been tackling recently are boiling down to the issue of relative instrument location to where the creep is occurring</li> <li>Ellis Vavra - Great question Craig! I think that may be challenging to characterize with to smaller signals, but has been done to an extent with co-seismic rupture and near-field deformation from pixel-tracking https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2020 JB020551</li> </ul>
Pieter Share	Next generation workforce development opportunities with this

<ul> <li>observatory <ul> <li>Yehuda Ben-Zion - Many confusing/interesting signals to understand in the data set, great opportunity</li> <li>Frank Vernon - Integrating data types, supported through integrated data handling and archival - will happen at all levels</li> <li>Jamie Steidl - Funding for interns, starting at undergraduate level (Seconded by Elizabeth Cochran)</li> <li>Field opportunities</li> <li>Kasey Aderhold - Good experience for cohort building by attending USArray data short course aimed at early graduate students</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		<ul> <li>observatory <ul> <li>Yehuda Ben-Zion - Many confusing/interesting signals to understand in the data set, great opportunity</li> <li>Frank Vernon - Integrating data types, supported through integrated data handling and archival - will happen at all levels</li> <li>Jamie Steidl - Funding for interns, starting at undergraduate level (Seconded by Elizabeth Cochran)</li> <li>Field opportunities</li> <li>Kasey Aderhold - Good experience for cohort building by attending USArray data short course aimed at early graduate students</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
--	--	--